

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,125	FRATER, MARK S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J Feely	1712	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Michael J Feely.

(3) _____.

(2) John P. O'Banion (Reg. No. 33,201):

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

9,10,15,41-43,46-50

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On January 30, 2004, the Examiner sent a fax to Mr. O'Banion including a proposed Examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance. The changes addressed minor informalities and wording inconsistencies. After reviewing the proposal, Mr. O'Banion followed-up on February 3, 2004, approving the proposed changes. A copy of the fax is attached.